

## REMARKS

### Double Patenting Rejection

In the Office Action mailed on August 25, 2003, claims 1-3, 7-11, 16-43 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12, 16-48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,602,790 in view of Fleming et al. (US 6,285,001).


Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer signed by the attorney of record. Any additional terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) is authorized to be charged to deposit account no. 50-0651. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance. Concurrence by the Examiner and early passage of the application to issue are respectfully requested.

Any fees that are required in connection with this communication and not specifically provided for herewith are authorized to be charged to deposit account no. 50-0651. Any overpayments are also authorized to be credited to this account.

Respectfully submitted,



November 24, 2003

---

Peter L. Holmes  
Reg. No. 37,353  
Attorney for Applicants

### HENRICKS, SLAVIN & HOLMES LLP

840 Apollo Street, Suite 200  
El Segundo, California 90245-4737  
Telephone: (310) 563-1454  
Facsimile: (310) 563-1460